Appendix A - Strategic Risk Register - June 2010

Ref.	Title and Description of risk	3 A's	Control measures in	Risk score		Direction	Risk owner /	Additional control	Additional cost	Adjusted risk	Timeline to
(see note 1)	The risk event, <i>leading to</i> consequence for service/ Aim(s)/ Action(s), <i>resulting</i> <i>in</i> possible outcome(s).	(see key)	place	(see key an notes 2 and		of travel (see key)	Review frequency	measures	resources required	score (where relevant, see note 4)	progress (see note 6)
Str 11	Equity Share Project The Council is unable to find an alternative short term funding solution to the legally required buy back of equity share properties (pre 2006 leases), <i>leading to</i> shortfall in capital budget, <i>resulting in</i> the need to utilise other capital resources such as the MRA in turn leading to a shortfall in essential maintenance works to council properties.	A C	Negotiations with CLG on options to mitigate financial exposure	Impact Likelihood Total	4 4 16	new	Corporate manager (Affordable Homes) / Reviewed on weekly basis	Issue may be resolved if HRA reform goes ahead in April 2011	Mitigation options may require some up front funding to set in motion	Impact Likelihood Total	Safety net needed by September 2010
Str5	Lack of development progress While there has been progress on Cambridge fringe sites, there is no progress yet at Northstowe and little likelihood of progress at Cambridge East in the immediate future, <i>leading to</i> the authority being unable to deliver its housing needs, <i>resulting in</i> the Council having to meet the shortfall in the short term from developments in existing villages.	C.i. C.ii.2. E.iii. E.iv.	Public Service Board has set up a T&F group to sort out controls and timeline etc - first meeting held in May 2010. Planning Policy are working as part of the county-wide group.	Impact Likelihood Total	3 5 15	from 9)	Corporate Manager (Planning & New Communities) / Quarterly	Senior level meeting between South Cambs and key developers to press for progress		Impact Likelihood Total	Waiting to hear about policies of the new government that may mean the targets will change.
Str 12	Supported Housing Reduction in Supporting People (SP) funding, <i>leading to</i> loss of staff and changes to delivery structure, <i>resulting in</i> dissatisfaction amongst residents and concerns over well being of vulnerable people.	A C	Needs assessment of all tenants + member task & finish group to identify best ways to meet tenants' needs.	Impact Likelihood Total	3 5 15	new	Supported Housing Manager / Reviewed in monthly HSMT meetings	None	None	Impact Likelihood Total	Secured place on SP framework April 2010 Critical funding decisions to emerge
	[Note: Further potential risk: Loss of control over SP budget when informal ring fence removed within LAA, <i>leading to</i> further cuts in SP funding not currently anticipated <i>resulting in</i> service may not be sustainable leading to outsourcing of provision.]		Work closely with County Council structures e.g. Commissioning Body	Impact Likelihood Total	3 4 12		Corporate Manager (Affordable Homes) / Reviewed in monthly HSMT meetings				during 2010 & 2011



South Cambridgeshire District Council

Ref.	Title and Description of risk	3 A's	Control measures in	Risk score		Direction	Risk owner /	Additional control	Additional cost	Adjusted risk	Timeline to
(see note 1)	The risk event, <i>leading to</i> consequence for service/ Aim(s)/ Action(s), <i>resulting</i> <i>in</i> possible outcome(s).	(see key)	place	(see key an notes 2 and	(see key and notes 2 and 3)		Review frequency	measures	resources required	score (where relevant, see note 4)	
Str8	 Medium Term Financial Strategy (MTFS) Risks concerning the financial projections include: not achieving delivery of savings to meet targets; the RSG settlement is tighter than anticipated; pay and inflation exceed assumptions; employer's pension contributions increases exceed projections; impact of successful equal pay claims exceeds available reserves; changes in demand for some service areas could lead to pressures in the related budgets; unforeseen redundancy costs, <i>leading to</i> the Council needing to take action to cut its budgets, <i>resulting in</i> cuts in services, public dissatisfaction, audit and inspection criticism. 	A.v.	Revised MTFS incorporates updated assumptions. Implement plans to deliver the Council's programme in line with the latest GF and HRA savings targets. EMT/SMT review progress in achieving budget targets. Explore opportunities for shared services. Treasury management reports to portfolio holder Monitor pay and inflation factors, the pay and grading review, the effect of the current economic climate on demand led services and budgets.	Impact Likelihood Total	4 3 12	(from 8)	Executive Director (Corporate Services) / Monthly Monthly Monthly Quarterly Monthly			Impact Likelihood Total	RSG settlement due to be announced in December 2010.
			Integrated business monitoring process.				Monthly				
Str2	Equalities The Council is successfully challenged over not complying with general equalities legislation or legislation specific to public and local authority bodies, <i>leading to</i> possible Commission for Human Rights and Equalities inspection, <i>resulting in</i> reduction in reserves available to support balanced MTFS, adverse publicity and effect on reputation.	A.ii.3. B.iv.6. C.iv.3.	An action plan to achieve Level 3 ("Achieving") of the Local Government Equality Standard is in progress. Gender Equality Scheme adopted by Cabinet.	Impact Likelihood Total	4 3 12		Corporate Manager (Community & Customer Services) / Quarterly			Impact Likelihood Total	IDeA inspection, October 2010 ?

Ref. (see note 1)	Title and Description of risk The risk event, <i>leading to</i> consequence for service/ Aim(s)/ Action(s), <i>resulting</i> <i>in</i> possible outcome(s).	3 A's (see key)	Control measures in place	Risk score (see key an notes 2 and		Direction of travel (see key)	Risk owner / Review frequency	Additional control measures	Additional cost resources required	Adjusted risk score (where relevant, see note 4)	Timeline to progress (see note 6)
Str3	Illegal Traveller encampments or developments Failure to find required number of sites, or sites identified do not meet the needs of local Travellers, <i>leading to</i> illegal encampments or developments in the District, <i>resulting in</i> community tensions; cost and workload of enforcement action, including provision of alternative sites and/or housing; poor public perception and damage to reputation.	E.i. C.iv.3.	The draft Gypsy and Traveller Development Plan Document (GTDPD) has been out for public consultation. Ongoing routine monitoring of all development in the District.	Impact Likelihood Total	4 3 12	from 9)	Corporate Manager (Planning & New Communities) / Quarterly	An update report on the GTDPD will be taken to the New Communities Portfolio Holder meeting in July 2010.		Impact Likelihood Total	July 2010
Str 14	Implementation of National Job Evaluation Scheme The Council and trade unions are not able to form a collective agreement for the implementation of a revised job evaluation scheme leading to worsening industrial relations and equal pay challenges and poor publicity resulting in public dissatisfaction with the Council's services	All	Exchange of information and discussions through the Job Evaluation Steering Group. Employment of specialist staff to manage the process.	Impact Likelihood Total	3 4 12	new	Chief Executive / Monthly			Impact Likelihood Total	Autumn 2010
Str1	 HRA financial position HRA not brought into balance by 2011/12, <i>leading to</i> drawdown on working balance and risk of an illegal deficit budget if cuts are not implemented in full, <i>resulting in</i> reputational damage to the Council and possible Government intervention if not resolved. [Note: Potential risk if savings achieved, <i>leading to</i> risk of deterioration of overall housing service if not carefully managed, <i>resulting in</i> declining tenant satisfaction and inability to meet statutory obligations.] 	A C	Standard budget setting and financial controls.	Impact Likelihood Total	5 2 10	(from 15)	Corporate Manager (Affordable Homes) / Reviewed in monthly budget monitoring meetings	None	None	Impact Likelihood Total	Medium term position now under control based on current assumption s. Main risk is for period 2014/15 on.

Ref. (see note 1)	Title and Description of risk The risk event, <i>leading to</i> consequence for service/ Aim(s)/ Action(s), <i>resulting</i> <i>in</i> possible outcome(s).	3 A's (see key)	Control measures in place	Risk score (see key and notes 2 and	d	Direction of travel (see key)	Risk owner / Review frequency	Additional control measures	Additional cost resources required	Adjusted ris score (where releva see note 4)		Timeline to progress (see note 6)
Str4	Climate change 1. The Council fails to develop measures to safeguard its services against climate change, <i>leading to</i> unacceptable vulnerability to the impact of climate shifts and other weather-related events; failure to achieve Level 2 of NI 188 and LAA target, <i>resulting in</i> a degradation or breakdown of service delivery and damage to property, increasing costs and impact on the Council's reputation; possible loss of reward grant.	C.vii.	Draft Climate Change Action Plan (CCAP) approved for consultation by the New Communities Portfolio Holder.	Impact Likelihood Total	3 3 9	\rightarrow	Corporate Manager (Planning & New Communities) / Quarterly	Action plan being developed by Internal Sustainability Delivery Group chaired by the Team Leader (Communities). Regular reporting to EMT and quarterly performance reports to PFH meetings.		Impact Likelihood Total		March 2011
	2. The Council fails to achieve 10% reductions in the emission of CO_2 from its operations, <i>leading to</i> continued level of emissions, <i>resulting in</i> loss of reputation, reduced ability to require developers and businesses to reduce CO_2 emissions.	5	Specific actions in place within CCAP and New Communities Service Plan. Regular reporting to EMT New co-ordination body – Internal Sustainability Delivery Group – will assist with implementation and monitoring.									
Str6	Productive employee time Restructuring exercises and threat of redundancy cause staff uncertainty, anxiety or stress, <i>leading to</i> significant staff absence or reduced productivity, <i>resulting in</i> inability to provide full services.	All	And monitoring.Management of sickness absence policyEmployee Assistance ProgrammeRedeployment supportRedundancy and Reorganisation Policy and ProcedureStress Management PolicyMonthly review of sickness absence by EMT	Impact Likelihood Total	3 3 9		HR Manager / Quarterly	Employee Engagement Strategy Staff Forum Audit of absence management in June 2010		Impact Likelihood Total	3 3 9	In line with timetables

Ref. (see note 1)	Title and Description of risk The risk event, <i>leading to</i> consequence for service/ Aim(s)/ Action(s), <i>resulting</i> <i>in</i> possible outcome(s).	3 A's (see key)	Control measures in place	Risk score (see key and notes 2 and 3)) Direction of travel (see key)	Risk owner / Review frequency	Additional control measures	Additional cost resources required	Adjusted risk score (where relevant, see note 4)	Timeline to progress (see note 6)
Str7	Shared services (a) The Council enters into a shared services agreement with another authority/ provider/ agency, with diminished control over resources or governance, <i>leading to</i> reduced performance or increased cost to the Council, <i>resulting in</i> adverse publicity and damage to reputation.	All	Business cases Agreed "Heads of Terms" Due diligence exercises Shared service agreements	Likelihood	$\begin{array}{c}3\\3\\9\end{array}$	Chief Executive / Quarterly			Impact Likelihood Total	N/a
	(b) The Council does not enter into shared services arrangements, <i>leading to</i> failure to take advantage of opportunities for service improvement and/or achieve savings, <i>resulting in</i> damage to reputation with peer authorities, inspection agencies and the public.									
Str 13	HRA Reform HRA reform is abandoned <i>leading to</i> retention of HRA (negative) subsidy regime <i>resulting in</i> significant budget pressures for HRA after 5 years and likely to lead to further cuts to spending and reduction in service.	A C	Standard budget setting and financial controls.	Likelihood	4 new 2 8	Corporate manager (Affordable Homes) / Reviewed on weekly basis as reform proposals unfold.	Consultation response made to CLG	None	Impact Likelihood Total	Outcome of consultation expected August/Sep 2010
	[Note: Potential risk if HRA reform is implemented <i>leading to</i> the Council taking on around £190M in debt <i>resulting in</i> the need for effective and robust financial management and treasury management over a 30 year business plan to avoid an outcome of critical business failure.]		Standard budget setting and financial controls.	Likelihood	5 1 5		New treasury management and accounting resources would be required	Cost of new staff will need to be factored in if needed		New self financing regime possible from April 2011
Str9	LAA actions Failure to meet LAA targets, <i>leading to</i> desired outcomes for residents of the District not being achieved, and/or poor CAA assessment, <i>resulting in</i> possible reduction in funding (e.g. Reward Grant) and low public satisfaction.	All	Leader and Chief Executive participation in Cambridgeshire Together board meetings, monitoring performance and approving remedial action plans.	Likelihood	$3 \longrightarrow 6$	Chief Executive / Quarterly			Impact Likelihood Total	N/a

Ref.	Title and Description of risk	3 A's	Control measures in	Risk score		Direction	Risk owner /	Additional control	Additional cost	Adjusted risk	Timeline to
(see	The risk event, <i>leading to</i> consequence	(see	place	(see key an	d	of travel	Review	measures	resources required	score	progress
note	for service/ Aim(s)/ Action(s), resulting	key)		notes 2 and	3)	(see key)	frequency			(where relevant,	(see note 6)
1)	in possible outcome(s).									see note 4)	
Str	Embedding values	A.i.	An action plan is	Impact	3	\rightarrow	Corporate			Impact	March 2011
10	Values not effectively embedded within	A.ii.	continuing to be	Likelihood	2		Manager			Likelihood	
	Council	A.iii.	implemented.	Total	6		(Community &			Total	
	leading to no real change in culture and	A.iv.					Customer				
	behaviour,						Services) /				
	resulting in adverse comment by the						Quarterly				
	Audit Commission, poor public and										
	partner perception of the Council, low										
	morale.										

<u>3 A's (Aims, Approaches, Actions)</u>	Impact	Likelihood	Direction of Travel ↓ Score reduced from last review (give the point of the poin
Use this column to cross reference risks to:	5 Extreme	5 Almost certain	
(a) the relevant Aims, Approaches and/or Actions adopted by Council on	4 High	4 Likely	
27 November 2008 with effect from 1 April 2009 (e.g. A v, or E ii 2, etc); and	3 Medium	3 Possible	
(b) the twelve Council Actions for 2010/11 approved by Council on	2 Low	2 Unlikely	
26 November 2009.	1 Insignificant	1 Rare	

Notes: 1. The "Ref." is a unique risk reference, retained by the risk throughout the period of its inclusion in the risk register. 2. Criteria and guidelines for assessing Impact and Likelihood are available on In-Site under Corporate Information > Risk Management and are provided on the following page for information.

The "Total" risk score is obtained by multiplying the Impact score by the Likelihood score.
 The "Adjusted risk score" would result from re-evaluation of the Impact and Likelihood, taking the additional control measures into account.

5. The dotted line (- - - - - -) shows the Council's risk tolerance line.

6. The "Timeline to progress" is the date (Month Year) by which it is planned that the risk will be mitigated to below the line.

- previous Total score in the brackets)
- ne previous Total score in the brackets)
- irst time

Criteria and guidelines for assessing Impact and likelihood

Impact			Giving rise t	to one or more o	of the following:				Likelihood
	Service disruption	People Financial Ioss (including claim or fine)		Environment	Statutory service/ legal obligations	Management	Reputation	Score	
Extreme	Serious disruption to services (loss of services for more than 7 days)	Loss of life	Financial loss over £500k	Major regional / national environmental damage	 Central government intervention; or Multiple civil or criminal suits 	Could lead to resignation of Leader or Chief Executive	Extensive adverse coverage in national press and/or television	5	Almost certain
High	Major disruption to services (loss of services for up to 7 days)	Extensive multiple injuries	Financial loss between £251k - £500k	Major local environmental damage	 Strong regulatory sanctions; or Litigation 	Could lead to resignation of Member or Executive Director	Adverse coverage in national press and/or television	4	Likely
Medium	Noticeable disruption to services (loss of services for up to 48 hours)	Serious injury (medical treatment required)	Financial loss between £51k - £250k	Moderate environmental damage	 Regulatory sanctions, interventions, public interest reports; or Litigation 	Disciplinary / capability procedures invoked	Extensive adverse front page local press coverage	3	Possible
Low	Some disruption to internal services; no impact on customers	Minor injury (first aid)	Financial loss of between £6k - £50k	Minor environmental damage	 Minor regulatory consequences; or Litigation 	Formal HR procedure invoked	Some local press coverage; or, adverse internal comment	2	Unlikely
Insignificant	Insignificant disruption to internal services; no impact on customers	No injuries	Financial loss of up to £5k	Insignificant environmental damage	 No regulatory consequences; or Litigation 	Informal HR procedure invoked	No reputational damage	1	Rare

od G е

Guidelines	Score
Is expected to occur in most circumstances (more than 90%), or More than 90% likely to occur in the next 12 months	5
Will probably occur at some time, or in some circumstances (66% - 90%), or 66% to 90% likely to occur in the next 12 months	4
Fairly likely to occur at some time, or in some circumstances (36% - 65%), or 36% to 65% likely to occur in the next 12 months	3
Is unlikely to occur, but could, at some time (11% - 35%), or 11% to 35% likely to occur in the next 12 months	2
May only occur in exceptional circumstances (up to 10%), or Up to 10% likely to occur in the next 12 months	1